



CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST.*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

*Competent
Self-disciplined
Universally-
adept*

Project Title: Integrated Engineering Services for Sustainable Development

Topic: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: March 12, 2021

Venue: Multipurpose Hall, Bgy. Taytay, Baggao, Cagayan

Proponents: Engr. Nikki A. Sumer

Engr. Marc Roly Sotelo

Engr. Jowell John Talosig

Engr. John Michael B. Casibang

Target participants: Construction workers who are also the beneficiaries of the
Project Salinong in Baggao, Cagayan

Rationale:

The destruction caused by the natural calamities that had severely affected some parts of the country has brought about the need to build back better buildings, particularly with disaster resilient standards. (Singson, 2014)

Thorough assessments made on the calamity-damaged building, show that proper construction methodologies, effective project supervision and quality control are important factors that would yield better and resilient structures ensuring the safety of the primary occupants.

As the demand for housing increases, the construction industry is steering away from the more traditional construction methods used in the past, and are now moving towards using more modern methods of construction.

Construction Methodology refers to the planned method of construction, taking into account and legal requirements, construction constraints, risks, and opportunities. It includes the temporary and permanent works and the services required to complete the construction works.

The project implementation strategy also called construction methodology provides general information of the methods procedures and sequencing to be





CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST.*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

*Competent
Self-disciplined
Universally
adept*

used for the project. Where specific areas of work are of a difficult nature, safety concern or there is a specific requirement a detailed method statement will be prepared in the future. (D.Moloney, 2016)

In this connection, the College of Engineering and Architecture (COEA) through the Extension Program of CSU Carig Campus would like to impart the importance of basic construction methods. The focus of this extension activity will be the sharing of knowledge, technical skills, and information dissemination to the participants who are also the construction workers and beneficiaries of the low-cost housing. Further, the training to be conducted aims to improve the capability of the stakeholders and discuss the specific responsibility of the workers, owners, supervisors and engineers in-charge of the construction for the Project Salinong, a shelter program of St. Joseph's College of Baggao, Inc. (SJCBI) and the St. Joseph the Worker Parish (SJWP).

Objectives:

Generally, this training is expected to:

1. provide information to the participants about basic methods in construction
2. discuss methods in planning and implementation of structural works for the construction of houses
3. explain how to properly monitor and supervise a construction project

Methodology:

- a. Value Orientation
- b. Lecture
- c. Participative discussion

Expected Output:

The participants are expected to be able to apply the skills, techniques and knowledge in construction.





COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

Living in Excellence

CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST.*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

*Competent
Self-disciplined
Universally-
adept*

The participants are also expected to increase their awareness on basic construction methodology.

Budgetary Requirements:

One Day training budget:

Description	Quantity	Cost per unit	Amount
Meals for 50 pax	50	50.00	2,500.00
TOTAL			P2,500.00

Prepared by:


Engr. John Michael B. Casibang
Faculty, Civil Engineering Department

Noted by:


Engr. Audy R. Quebral, DPA
Dean, College of Engineering





CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the SUSTAINABLE*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

Competent

Self-disciplined

*Universally
accepted*

Narrative Report
Basic Construction Methodology, Safety and Practices
Project Salinong - Integrated Engineering Services for Sustainable
Development (IESSD)
Bgy. Taytay Bantay, Baggao, Cagayan
March 12, 2021

As part of the extension program "Project Salinong - Integrated Engineering Services for Sustainable Development (IESSD)", the CSU College of Engineering & Architecture – Civil Engineering Department faculty conducted a one-day training at the grounds of Brgy. Taytay Bantay, Baggao Multipurpose Hall last March 12, 2021 for workers & beneficiaries of housing project.

The following topics were presented during the training:

1. Basic Construction Methodology
2. Basic Construction Safety & Health
3. Post-Construction Practices

The Cagayan State University – Carig Campus in partnership with SJCBI, held a seminar on Basic Construction Methodology, Safety and Post construction Practices at Taytay Multi-purpose Hall on March 12, 2021. The program "Project Salinong-Integrated Services for Sustainable Development" featured talks by Engr. John Michael Casibang, Engr. Nikki Sumer, Engr. Rolly Mark Sotelo and Engr. Jowell John Talosig, CSU-Carig Campus College of Engineering Faculty Members.

Registration started at 7:30am. The event opened with the welcome remarks of Dr. Marissa Palomares, SJCBI Vice-president for Administration, who said that this is the first of the training series as part of the Memorandum of Agreement signed by SJCBI and CSU-Carig Campus last March 5. Dr. Marissa Palomares, VP for Administration, warmly welcomes the participants and visitors from CSU-Carig Campus. Dr. Palomares also noted that this training is not only for the on-going construction of Project Salinong, but, more importantly, as an alternative source of income for the beneficiaries as they begin a new chapter of their life in their new home.

At 8:00am, the first topic was discussed by the first speaker Engr. John Michael Casibang, a faculty of Civil Engineering Department, shares his knowledge on good practices in construction and his experiences as





Republic of the Philippines
Cagayan State University
CARIG CAMPUS
 Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City
 Tel. No. (078) 304 - 1205 to 035/036

COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

Leading in Excellence

CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST.*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

Competent

Self-disciplined

*Universally
adept*

a Civil Engineer. Under the topic Basic Construction Methodology, Engr. Casibang highlighted the importance of observing good practices in construction to ensure structural integrity. After the open forum, the participants together with the speakers were given a 30-min health break.

Followed by the second speaker, Engr. Nikki Sumer, Department Chair of Civil Engineering, discusses Basic Construction Safety and health and urges the participants to practice the 'safety first' rule. Engr. Sumer also drove at the significance of avoiding unnecessary risks and to always follow the 'safety first' rule in his discussion on Basic Construction Safety & Health.

After an hour of health break from the previous speaker, at 1:00pm the last speaker was Engr. Rolly Mark Sotelo, a Baggaoño and a faculty of Civil Engineering Department, talks about his observation in on-going construction of the relocation site. Engr. Sotelo, who discussed Post-construction Practices, said that they would be hands-on building at least one duplex.

And after every speaker, Mr. Galicano Ortiz of SJCBI urges the Taytay residents to participate during the open forum. The head of Engineering Brigade also addressed the lack of storage for the potable water to be used in the construction. In accordance to this, the CSU-Carig Civil Engineering Department pledged to provide IBC (Intermediate Bulk Containers) to solve water storage problems in the construction area. For the closing remarks, Fr. Christian Dave Remudaro, Community Engagement and Campus Ministry (CECM) Director, thanked CSU-Carig Campus Executive Officer Engr. Arthur Ibañez, and the Engineering Department's faculty members for sharing their time in conducting this seminar. He closed the program by bestowing God's blessings to the 46 participants including 32 Zone 7 beneficiaries, 5 SJCBI male teachers, and 11 Army personnel.

In the afternoon, 4:00pm, right after the awarding and closing remarks led by father Remudaro, the team of Civil engineering Department visited the construction site and inspect the house that already have been constructed.





Republic of the Philippines
Cagayan State University
CARIG CAMPUS
Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City
tel. No. (078) 304 - 1205 loc. 035/036

COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

Created on: April 2010

CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST.*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

Competent

Self-disciplined

*Universally
adept*

PHOTOS:



Engr. John Michael Casibang shares his knowledge on good practices in construction and his experiences as a Civil Engineer.

Image Source: <https://sjcbl.edu.ph/sjcbl-csu-carig-campus-hold-basic-construction-methodology-safety-and-practices-seminar-for-project-salinang-beneficiaries/?fbclid=IwAR1Y1Xcm0CqJicRtPu3gn0adwM8TQtnE7TJFVf1MLG3pQascDk4eLIWdI>





Republic of the Philippines
Cagayan State University
CARIG CAMPUS
Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City
Tel. No. (078) 334-1205 loc. 033/035

COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City

CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

Competent

Self-disciplined

*Universally
accepted*



Dr. Marissa Palomares, VP for Administration, warmly welcomes the participants and visitors from CSU-Carig Campus.

Image Source: <https://sjchi.edu.ph/sjchi-csu-carig-campus-hold-basic-construction-methodology-safety-and-practices-seminar-for-project-salinang-beneficiaries/?fbclid=IwAR1Y3Xcm0CaUlcBtPu3gn0gqdwM8TOrnf7T1Fvf1MIG3pQzscDk4eLlWdI>





Republic of the Philippines
Cagayan State University

CARIG CAMPUS

Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City
Tel. No. (078) 304-1205 fax: 0350036

COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

Training in, for, and beyond

CSU Vision

Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST.

CSU Mission

CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.

CSU - IGA

Competent

Self-disciplined

Unusually
adept



Engr. Nikki Sumer discusses Basic Construction Methodology and urges the participants to practice the "safety first" rule.

Image Source: <https://sjcbl.edu.ph/sjcbl-csu-carig-campus-hold-basic-construction-methodology-safety-and-practices-seminar-for-project-salinang-beneficiaries/?fbclid=IwAR1Y3Xcm0CqUlcBtPu3go0qdwM8TOtnE7T1FVf1MLG3pQzscDk4eLIWdI>





Republic of the Philippines
Cagayan State University
CARIG CAMPUS
 Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City
 Tel. No. (078) 301 - 125 loc. 420/035

COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

Page No. _____

CSU Vision

Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BLSF.

CSU Mission

CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.

CSU - IGA

Competent

Self-disciplined

Universally-
adept



Engr. Rolly Mark Sotelo, a Baggapeño, talks about his observation in on-going construction of the relocation site.

Image Source: <https://sjcbl.edu.ph/sjcbl-csu-carig-campus-hold-basic-construction-methodology-safety-and-practices-seminar-for-project-salinanga-beneficiaries/?fbclid=IwAR1Y3Xcm0CqUlcBtPu3qo0qdwM8TOtnE7T1FVf1MIG3pQzscDk4eLjWdI>



Mr. Galicano Ortiz of SJCBI and Taytay residents participate during the open forum

Image Source: <https://sjcbl.edu.ph/sjcbl-csu-carig-campus-hold-basic-construction-methodology-safety-and-practices-seminar-for-project-salinanga-beneficiaries/?fbclid=IwAR1Y3Xcm0CqUlcBtPu3qo0qdwM8TOtnE7T1FVf1MIG3pQzscDk4eLjWdI>

CSU - COE





Republic of the Philippines
Cagayan State University
CARIG CAMPUS
Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City
Tel. Nos. (078) 304 - 1205 loc. 033/036

COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

Learning on Becallawed

CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST.*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

Competent

Self-disciplined

*Universally
adept*



Fr. Christian Dave Remudaro, CECM Director, delivers his closing message and grants his blessings to all the participants.

Image Source: <https://sjcbl.edu.ph/sjcbl-csu-carig-campus-hold-basic-construction-methodology-safety-and-practices-seminar-for-project-salinong-beneficiaries/?fbclid=IwAR1IY3Xcm0CaUic8tPu3go0adwM8TQtnL7T1FVf1MLG3pQzscDk4eLIWdI>

CSU -COE





Republic of the Philippines
Cagayan State University
CARIG CAMPUS
Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City
Tel. No. (078) 304 - 1305 Int. 035/036

COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

DEMAND TO EXCELLENCE

CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

Competent

Self-disciplined

*Universally
adapt*



Site Visit & Inspection of the team

CSU -COE





Republic of the Philippines
Cagayan State University
CARIG CAMPUS
Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City
Tel. No. (078) 391 - 1205 loc. 055/056

COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

Learning Via Innovation

CSU Vision

*Transforming
lives by
Educating for
the BEST.*

CSU Mission

*CSU is
committed
to transform the
lives of people
and
communities
through high
quality
instruction and
innovative
research,
development,
production and
extension.*

CSU - IGA

Competent

Self-disciplined

*Universally
adept*

Prepared By:



Nikki A. Somer
CE Department Chairperson


Engr. John Michael B. Casibang
CE Faculty


Engr. Rolly Marc Sotelo
CE Faculty


Engr. Jowell John Talosig
CE Faculty

Noted By:


Engr. Andy R. Quebral
Dean, College of Engineering



SAFETY IN CONSTRUCTION

1

CONTENTS

- INTRODUCTION
- WHAT IS SAFETY
- WHY IS THERE AN ISSUE OF SAFETY
- ACCIDENTS
- CAUSES OF ACCIDENTS
- EFFECTS OF ACCIDENT
- WAYS TO ENSURE SAFETY
- PPE & SAFETY SIGNS

2

INTRODUCTION

The civil engineering industry is one of the biggest industry in modern times.

It is also an industry where extensive use of labour is required, and where such large amount of human labour is involved a matter of safety arises.

For proper development and growth of economy, apart from rapid industrialization, a stable and productive work force is a must. To build a productive work force it is essential that managements strive to meet the worker's social psychological and welfare needs and take steps to humanize work and work environment.

3

WHAT IS SAFETY?

Safety is a difficult term to define due to the inter-relatedness of several factors. Very often, safety is adjudged in terms of an inverse relationship with accident rate. High rate means low safety and vice versa. Theoretically, safety would mean the absence of danger at work which is made possible by eliminating hazards that create the danger. A more practical definition would be "*A thing is provisionally categorized as safe if its risks are deemed known and, in the light of knowledge judged to be acceptable*".

Safety can also be defined as "*the condition of enterprise operations in which, by controlling hazards, accident free production is achieved.*"



4

WHY DOES THE ISSUE OF SAFETY ARISES??

DUE TO FREQUENT ACCIDENTS THERE IS A LOSS OF

- ❑ LABOUR
- ❑ MONEY
- ❑ TIME
- ❑ MATERIAL

DUE TO ACCIDENTS THERE IS ALSO A LOSS OF REPUTATION OF THE BUILDER

CAUTION
AREA UNDER
CONSTRUCTION



5

ACCIDENTS

Accidents are readily recognized when there is a damage to properties and someone is injured. Generally an accident is defined as "*an event which is unexpected or the cause of which is unforeseen*" or it can also be defined as "*any occurrence that interrupts or the cause of which is unforeseen.*"

However, it is not always easy to determine to what extent the accidents are the direct result of the working environment only. There may be lack of proper

- ❑ Safety equipment at work sites.
- ❑ Long working hours may cause fatigue.
- ❑ Improper living conditions
- ❑ Improper working conditions

6

It is for these reasons that very often safety, occupational health, working conditions and welfare are bracketed together for evolving measures of accident control as well as of promoting safety

It may be underlined that in construction the concern for safety is for both persons and property structures.

The risk of accidents is present in all everyday activities and it is not fair to pretend that a work site can be entirely free from accidents. However, a more practical way is to consider a construction site safe when persons can go about their normal work without undue risk.



7

CAUSES OF ACCIDENT

HUMAN CAUSES

FAULTY WORKING METHODS

STRUCTURAL FAILURES

8

HUMAN CAUSES

- ❑ LACK OF KNOWLEDGE
- ❑ LACK OF INTEREST IN WORK
- ❑ OTHER PERSONAL FACTORS
- ❑ LACK OF SKILL
- ❑ CARELESSNESS IN SUPERVISION



9

FAULTY WORKING PRACTISES

- ❑ SUBSTANDARD USE OF MATERIALS
- ❑ STRIKING AGAINST OBJECTS
- ❑ NO USE OF PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENTS
- ❑ NO SITE LAYOUT
- ❑ IMPROPER STORAGE OF MATERIAL



10

STRUCTURAL FAILURES

- ❑ FAILURE OF FOUNDATION
- ❑ FAULTY DESIGN
- ❑ NATURAL CALAMITIES.



11

EFFECTS OF ACCIDENTS

- ❑ LOSS OF LIFE
- ❑ LOSS OF TIME
- ❑ ESCALATION OF PROJECT COST



12

WAYS TO ENSURE SAFETY

There are many ways to ensure that proper safety is provided on the site, here are given some of the ways to see how we can provide safety on the site.

- ROLE OF DESIGNER
- SAFETY PROGRAMME
- APPOINTMENT OF SAFETY OFFICER
- PROVISION OF PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENTS (PPE)

13

ROLE OF DESIGNER

In the development of a project, a significant role is played initially by the designer of the project and then by the constructor of the project. Construction worker safety has often been regarded the sole responsibility of the construction contractor.

Despite the obvious reasons for placing the primary responsibility on the contractor, the safety performance on a project may well be dictated to a large extent by decisions made by the designer.

The designer while design the building may design it in such a manner that it becomes easy and safe for the construction of the higher part of the structures.

14

SAFETY PROGRAMME

- Most of the accidents that take place are mostly due to the lack of knowledge and skill of the workers and the supervisor.
- This can be improved by holding a safety training camps for the whole site staff and workers. Specially trained personnel should be hired to hold safety camps.
- Whenever recruiting a new worker their experience should be checked and adequate knowledge of the work should be given to them.
- Keep a record of all the accidents that took place

15

SAFETY OFFICER

- A safety officer should be specially appointed to take care of all the safety related issues of the construction site.
- Appointing such an officer helps health and safety of the staff and



16

GUIDELINES GOVERNING OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

- ❑ DEPARTMENT ORDER NO. 13 Series of 1998

21

CONCLUSION

A safe working environment can be very easily achieved by the proper interaction of the contractor, designer and the laborers. Also the constitution can also play its part in maintaining the proper habitable conditions for the workers and ensuring the safety by being strict on those who do not follow rules and penalizing accordingly.

Unless all these factors do not work together the accidents will keep occurring and the safety will be compromised.

22

REFERENCES

- ❑ **BOOKS:**
- ❑ N.S Mujumdar and Mrs. S.V. Pataskar "Project management and Engineering Economics" Nirali publication ,2013, page no. 4.3-4.35
- ❑ "Construction Safety Management" NICMAR publication, March 2010
- ❑ SP 70
- ❑ **RESEARCH PAPERS:**
- ❑ Jimmie Hinze and Francis Wiegand "ROLE OF DESIGNERS IN CONSTRUCTION WORKER SAFETY" Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol. 118, No. 4, December, 1992.
- ❑ Nabil A. Kartam "INTEGRATING SAFETY AND HEALTH PERFORMANCE INTO CONSTRUCTION" Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol. 123, No.2, June, 1997.
- ❑ **WEBSITES:**
- ❑ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Personal_protective_equipment
- ❑ <http://www.engineeringcivil.com/what-are-the-is-codes-used-for-construction-management.html>
- ❑ <http://www.bis.org.in/>
- ❑ <http://www.google.co.in/imglnq?hl=en&tab=vi>

23

THANK YOU
!

24



1



2



3



4



5



6



7



8



9





This regularly structured building is able to withstand the impact of tsunamis. Notice the structure of columns and beams which are all joined to each other in a regular format. Overlapping parts of the building are all well supported by reinforcement against the backdrafts.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 15

19





The diagram is good design principle for a column. It shows a column that is not too short, has a regular structure, and is supported by a regular structure. It also shows a column that is not too short, has a regular structure, and is supported by a regular structure.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 17

20





Good structure. This is good design principle. Notice the grid structure, the grid structure is strong, and the 2 ring beam connecting all the building elements at the top of doors and windows, and at the top of the walls. This, and much, are also strengthened with reinforcing concrete.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 20

21





This is an example of bad structural practice. This house has no structural columns and no beams to hold the structure together. There are no columns at the corners, or within the walls, and there are no ring beams at the top of doors and windows or at the top of the walls. This building will have little resistance to being destroyed by any impact from tsunamis, like an earthquake or a storm.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 22

22





In a variety of poor structural practice. The columns are very poor, with concrete poured to support after the walls were built up, and the concrete is not fully covered. This is bad design principle. The columns are too weak and the roof is too close together. The columns may not be able to support the roof. Columns should not be made to be within the distance of the wall. Between them, there should be some support. If columns are too close, it will give a house structural strength. It would have been better to have a proper column at the end of the wall on the right.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 23

23





Bad good structure. Another example of a house which has no structural columns to brace the walls against lateral forces. This is a common construction fault with hollow blocks. Columns are inadequate, too small, and poorly constructed, and there should be a reinforced column between the door and the window. The window and the door have inadequate structural support, when the forces of nature strike buildings, it will progressively deteriorate and be hard to repair.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 24

24





Good structural practice. A good practice of reinforced concrete columns and beams is to strengthen all aspects of the building including support to strengthen the triangular joints and walls. It will not fall when a lateral force strikes the building. All walls and roofs of this nature need a reinforced column. In Bangladesh, often, they are made to fail when the building is subjected to a major lateral force. It will have a reinforced concrete beam in top to all walls.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 25

25

Connection of wood frame building to concrete frame/brick wall.





It is essential that a wood frame structure attached to a concrete frame/brick wall must be fully anchored together. A bracket, as shown above left, made of non-corrosive metal, must be cast into the bearing structure to provide a substantial connection for the whole wall through to the foundation. The wood bracket must be bolted to the bracket or chain, and the bracket must be fully secured into the concrete as shown on the right.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 26

26


Design Principles

1. Joining walls to roof structure
(with walls and roof to strengthen and resist)

- Columns reinforcement should protrude from the top of concrete columns and be bent around roof trusses for structural strength, or roof trusses should be strapped with metal ties to the wall structure.
- Exposed metal should be painted with rust proof paint to prevent corrosion.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 27

27



28



29



30



31



32



33



34



35



36



Result of lack of ties: Another example of what happens when the walls are not tied to the columns. The wall panel on the left is moving away from the column because it has not been tied into the column.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 37

37

Design Principles

5. Roof Trusses
Timber, but strong roof trusses are also useful.

- The joints of wooden roof trusses need to be bolted together and tied with metal straps to provide flexibility but not collapse under the forces of nature.
- Metal roof trusses must be welded together, bolted to purlins, and welded to wall reinforcement for strength.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 38

38



This illustration shows the metal straps tying together the different elements of the roof structure at the joint to ensure, so that they will be held together when affected by various forces of nature. A metal plate may also be used.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 39

39



This illustration shows a strap or plate across the joint at the apex of the roof structure. This is always good practice. Wooden roof trusses are not for a wooden roof structure, wooden blocks should be used for support of purlins, not a single nail through the joints into the roof.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 40

40



Good roofing practice with metal roof trusses, where the roof is strongly connected to the rafters in the wall columns by bolting, and the purlins are nailed to the roof trusses with metal brackets to make a strong roof structure.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 41

41

Design principles

6. Cross bracing of walls and roof.
The walls and roof must be braced against lateral movement.

- In order to resist lateral forces, walls and roof structure need cross bracing at all levels, particularly if it is a wooden structure.
- This is a major principle in the construction of traditional houses.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 42

42



Example of a cross bracing system for the walls, roof, and also for the column under the house for a wooden house structure. The cross bracing system provides strength against lateral forces so that the building does not collapse sideways but is held together. This is a system used in traditional houses and needs to be included in modern houses.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 43

43



Good Practice: Traditional houses, which have steep through-roof frames and rafters, are well braced and supported, with good timber columns, and have good purlins over them and rafters in their weight down that side. The roof frame will be a good foundation for the columns to lateral movement.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 44

44

Design principles

7. Drainage Principles
Drainage plans are essential to good house design.

- A high rainfall area requires a drainage plan for roof water to a common drain. Levels of the drainage system need to be included on plans so that rainwater flows away and does not form puddles that breed insects around houses.
- Drains should be covered or bridged where necessary to allow access over them for people and vehicles.
- Drains should have a V form at the bottom to reduce accumulation of water if levels are incorrect.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 45

45

Design principles

6. House elevation and location
Take into account: House and its location from flooding, landslides, earthquakes.

- The house floor should be elevated above the surrounding ground level, and extra height is needed in vulnerable situations such as landslides close to a floodable waterway or a swampy area, or in a tsunami or wave prone area near the sea. Extra height is best provided throughout.
- Houses must be located away from places subject to landslides where soil may move down a steep slope, debris flows where soil and gravel and rock may be washed rapidly down by heavy rainfall, and flash floods.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 46

46



Good house elevation. This house is built high in a low-lying area vulnerable to flooding. Concrete walls are being built on good footings to raise the floor level of the house so that it will not be flooded or subject to damage.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 46

47




Build on a hill. Build on a hill where the house is on a slope.

Build on a hill. Build on a hill where the house is on a slope.

Build on a hill. Build on a hill where the house is on a slope.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 47

48



Where not to build. Examples of places where it is dangerous to build and where houses may be destroyed.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 48

49

Construction and Materials Principles

The design of a building may be responsible for the good design principle, and the way it is constructed may lead to its failure when the forces of nature are applied to it. This section explains good construction methods, and provides for choosing good materials for construction.

Even with the best design, poor construction practices and wrong materials can prevent a house from protecting its residents. If a house is constructed properly or if wrong materials are used, the house may be damaged or destroyed when natural forces impact it.

In constructing a house, the first and foremost principle is to follow the design and not to cut corners by reducing design requirements. Foundations must be a straight line for the house. The choice of building materials, such as land and gravel, affects the strength of the building, and care must be taken to choose the best materials. Mixing good clean ingredients with the water makes strong concrete. Strong concrete is essential for the columns. The reinforcement columns is important, and it is essential to use the best materials.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 49

50

The body like the feet in order to keep everything and everything safe, it is strong and provides for the weather protection. The body like the feet in order to keep everything and everything safe, it is strong and provides for the weather protection. The body like the feet in order to keep everything and everything safe, it is strong and provides for the weather protection.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 50

51

Construction and Materials Principles

1. Foundations
Make a strong base for the house to be built on.

- Foundations must be founded on solid ground.
- Only broken rock should be used in foundations, not unbroken rounded river stones or rounded sea shore stones. River stones can be used if broken.
- Sufficient mortar is needed to join stones used in the foundation into one solid foundation that will not settle unevenly.
- Reinforcement is needed to connect the bases of columns reinforcing steel in the foundations.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 52

52



Good material for foundations. Broken rock is a much better material for foundations than rounded river stones. Broken rock is a much better material for foundations than rounded river stones. Broken rock is a much better material for foundations than rounded river stones.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 52

53



Good material for foundations. Broken rock is a much better material for foundations than rounded river stones. Broken rock is a much better material for foundations than rounded river stones. Broken rock is a much better material for foundations than rounded river stones.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 53

54



Good concrete foundation. The foundation of these houses has been constructed in a dry trench using good materials, and the first row of blocks was laid while the concrete was still wet. Starter bars to reinforce the hollow block walls are spaced while concrete is wet.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 16

55

Construction and Materials Principles

2. Sand and Gravel
Check the moisture at the strength of the building.

- **Good sand should never be used in any construction.**
- **Coarse sand should be covered in concrete slabs, the partition and walls.**
- **If the sand comes from a dirty or sea water source, it must be washed.**

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 17

56



Bad example of coarse sand used. A sample of the cross-section of concrete slab to the side of sand. This concrete is returning to ground. The only action possible at this point is to dig it out and to start again, wasting time, money and resources.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 18

57



Example of good quality sand. This sand is all of about the same particle size and does not have larger stones in it as a lot of sand. Sand can be tested by lifting up a handful and letting it fall back to the ground. If a significant portion of it blown away instead of falling straight down, it has too much dust and needs to be washed.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 19

58



Example of poorly graded sand. This sand is mixed with larger stones so that it is always a sandy consistency of sand and is not washed to the mixture. It will not be suitable for making concrete because there will be too little fine material in it to bind it together.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 20

59



Bad practice for materials. Items should not be allowed to be mixed with any of the ingredients for making concrete. Such debris seriously weakens the strength of concrete. This sand will need to be cleaned before it is used for making concrete. All sticks, leaves, grass, roots, shells and other debris must be removed before using sand or gravel for making concrete. Material debris particularly weakens the concrete when it is mixed in with the ground.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 21

60

Gravel is best made of crushed rock, not of river rounded stones



Good gravel made of crushed rock, with no larger stones. Gravel should have maximum size stone in order for it to fit within and around reinforcement and to strengthen concrete and have free voids (spaces).

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 22

61

Construction and Materials Principles

3. Mixing of concrete
Good clean ingredients with correct water ratios (strongest).

- **Ensure adequate cement is added.**
- **Mix ingredients well.**
- **Limit water, and use only clean water.** Concrete should stand up when mixed, not flow away due to excessive water.
- **Do not use any water that is salty.** This destroys concrete strength.
- **Use only properly selected, clean ingredients.**
- **If the sand contains stones, reduce the amount of gravel added.**

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 23

62

Construction and Materials Principles

4. Making columns
Using columns, concrete strength to be built up.

- **All reinforced concrete columns should be made in one part before walls are built up.** They should not be made in small steps as walls are built up. They should contain them for wall. Use end ring beams when constructed.
- **Columns reinforcement should be 4 vertical bars with hoops holding them together, spaced at approximately the same as the width of the columns. Bars should be anchored in the foundation.**
- **End of hoop steel should be bent 135° into the corner of the column.**
- **Columns must be covered with a minimum of 2cm of concrete all round.**
- **Do not pull pipes down the centre of columns.**

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 24

63



Columns being constructed before walls on a base to raise the house above surrounding low lying land.
The placement of columns further from the footing through the floor to provide structural strength.

Good Building Design and Construction Booklet Page 64

64



Base construction of masonry columns in a particularly important area. It will gradually rot and deteriorate when covered over with mortar.
The columns must be properly covered because the steel cage was wider than the width of the bricks making up the wall. The result is that the mortar for the concrete was against the steel, and when concrete was placed, the steel was not within the column, but on its edge. The columns have been placed in the wall in a very weak way. All of this wall is weak and will be subject to collapse when under stress.

Good Building Design and Construction Booklet Page 65

65



Use of steel bars at 100 for additional reinforcement.

Good Building Design and Construction Booklet Page 66

66

Construction and Materials Principles

5. Reinforcement
The reinforcement is to be used in the following ways:

- Reinforcement steel is best made of twisted deformed steel bar, or deformed bar, rather than plain mild steel. Plain mild steel is significantly weaker, and can pull through surrounding concrete and hence have weaker effects.

Good Building Design and Construction Booklet Page 67

67



Deformed twisted steel bars **round bars**

Good Building Design and Construction Booklet Page 68

68

Construction and Materials Principles

6. Roofing
A strong roof provides better weather protection.

- Roof trusses of wood should be made with a triangular frame, placed over columns and tied to them.
- Roofing supports for wooden trusses must be made of a block of wood rather than just a nail.
- Roofing structure should not be joined near the middle of a span, and not joined with a 45° cut.

Good Building Design and Construction Booklet Page 69

69



Not good practice. Roof trusses are not tied over the columns, and columns are not long enough and not tied over roof structure to connect between roof structure and column trusses. This is a weak building method.

Good Building Design and Construction Booklet Page 70

70



Use of wood trusses for supporting roof structure.
Do not use a single nail, which is a weak support, and do not use a single nail to connect between roof structure and column trusses. This is a weak building method.

Good Building Design and Construction Booklet Page 71

71



Not good practice. Roof structure joined over and over with a nail joint. This is a weak joint, and poorly made, and it will gradually give way, leading to a sagging roof. Such roof support makes building as weak as the roof.

Good Building Design and Construction Booklet Page 72

72

Construction and Materials Principles

7. Tying wall to structure

Connections, throughout the wall.

- Wall ties to connect walls with the building's structure are to be at regular intervals of 40cm and extend from the bottom to the top of the wall.
- Wall ties must be cast into reinforced concrete columns, along with reinforcement, for ring beams.
- Wall ties must be bent at the end to hook into the wall material.
- Hollow block walls should be reinforced.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 12

73



A good example of how to insert wall ties into columns before they were constructed, so that walls will be well tied to the structure of columns.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 12

74



Reinforced walls should have a reinforcing bar every 40cm which is cast into the block throughout the height of the wall and is secured into the block at foundation when it is cast, to be guaranteed to fill all voids in hollow blocks to provide further strengthening to the walls.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 12

75

Construction and Materials Principles

8. Walls, septic tanks, plumbing

There are essential fixed-supporting systems to house.

- Sewer should not be located closer than 30 meters to the nearest septic tank (Sphere Standard). If they are located closer, liquid from the septic tank may contaminate the wall, which may bring disease to the users of that wall.
- It is best to have a common water supply from a tested clean source rather than individual wells.
- In urban areas, a common sewage system is recommended, and not hard to install.
- In rural areas, rain water from the roof should be collected in covered tanks to provide a source of good household water.
- Bathrooms and toilets need to be well ventilated and to have a window.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 12

76



A good example of collecting roof water in tanks for household use. This water is fairly clean and is usually of good quality. If many houses are not using the roof, a stand at the entrance to the tank will filter out unwanted debris.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 12

77

Code of Minimum Standards for House Construction

1. Foundations

- Foundations must be designed specifically for the house in its location, according to:
 - a. The class of the house, number of floors, weight of construction materials
 - b. The bearing capacity
 - c. The height of the water table
 - d. The stability of the foundation
- Each house must have a ground water, hydrological map, and soil water distribution map.
- Foundations are to be built of masonry or brick on well ground, well compacted, with a good of reinforced concrete only as it has been constructed for the house in its location, to be tested for strength, and reinforced for concrete structure.
- Reinforced concrete or stone are not to be used anywhere for foundations.

2. House Foundation

- The house floor must be elevated above the surrounding area, with special consideration for parts like the kitchen, where the ground water, the floor, or the ground.
- House must be raised above the ground level in all cases where the ground is not firm, or a strong river, there is a low water level, and there may be water nearby.

3. Building Materials

- A good design is clean, clear, and without superfluous detail and ornamentation. It must be built of good quality, and if it is to have the same look it must be thoroughly worked and finished in detail as usual.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 12

78

1. General

- A house must be built on a firm and level site, and not on a slope, or on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A house must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A house must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.

2. Foundations

- A house must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A house must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A house must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.

3. Building Materials

- A house must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A house must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A house must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 12

79

4. The structure must be strongly connected from foundation through walls to the roof trusses.

- For a reinforced concrete structure, an integrated ring beam around the top of the walls and columns must connect between and into the columns.
- A masonry structure must have a beam around the top of all walls with the columns.
- A steel structure must be built with a ring beam around the top of all walls with the columns.
- For a masonry structure, wall posts must either be connected directly to the foundation, or if above the walls, must be secured behind a masonry beam or concrete beam.

5. Tying wall materials to structure

- A wall material must be tied to the building structure with wall ties.
- For a masonry structure, wall ties must be tied to the wall material.
- For a masonry structure, wall ties must be tied to the wall material.

6. Reinforcement

- A reinforced concrete structure must have a beam around the top of all walls with the columns.
- A masonry structure must have a beam around the top of all walls with the columns.
- A steel structure must be built with a ring beam around the top of all walls with the columns.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 12

80

7. Roofing and roof structure

- A roof must be built on a firm and level site, and not on a slope, or on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A roof must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A roof must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.

8. Roof structure

- A roof must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A roof must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A roof must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.

9. Roofing materials

- A roof must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A roof must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.
- A roof must be built on a site where the ground is not firm, or on a site where the ground is not level.

Good Building Design and Construction Handbook Page 12

81



COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

ATTENDANCE SHEET

CSU Vision

Transforming lives by
excellence in
teaching, learning,
research and
community service.

CSU Mission

CSU is committed to providing the best quality education and training through high quality faculty and staff, state-of-the-art facilities, and a commitment to excellence in teaching, learning, research, and community service.

CSU - IGA

Competent

Service-oriented

Unconquered spirit

DATE: March 12, 2021

ACTIVITY: Basic Construction Safety and Health

VENUE: Prng. Tugtug Bantay, Dagupan, Cagayan

NAME	SEX (M/F)	ADDRESS	CONTACT NUMBER	SIGNATURE
1. JEROME CALACAN	Male	San Jose, Pangasinan	0908893312	[Signature]
2. JEROME CALACAN	Male	San Jose, Pangasinan	0908893312	[Signature]
3. JOSEPH C. SOMERO	Male	San Jose, Pangasinan	0908893312	[Signature]
4. KYLE CHRISTIAN ANTONIO	Male	San Jose, Pangasinan	0908893312	[Signature]
5. FRANCIS BATHALA	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
6. EDUARDO MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	11	[Signature]
7. RICHARD MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	11	[Signature]
8. RICHARD MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
9. VILLO ESCOBAR	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
10. VIRGENIO ALONSO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
11. FELIX BATHALA	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
12. DANIEL M. TALAN	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
13. JOSEPH MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
14. JOSE MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
15. JOSE MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
16. JOSE MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
17. JOSE MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
18. JOSE MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
19. JOSE MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
20. JOSE MARIANO	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
21. VICTOR C. BATHALA	Male	Tugtug, Dagupan, Cagayan	09115394011	[Signature]
22.				
23.				
24.				
25.				
26.				
27.				
28.				
29.				
30.				



COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

ATTENDANCE SHEET

CSU Vision

Transforming
Lungsod
Educating for
the Best

CSU Mission

CSU is committed
to providing the
best of nursing
and health services
through highly
skilled
nurses and
healthcare
professionals
in the
community.

CSU - IGA

Commitment

See description

University of

DATE: March 12, 2021

ACTIVITY: Basic Construction Safety and Health

VENUE: Bray Taytay Bantay, Bagdad, Cagayan

	NAME	SEX (M/F)	ADDRESS	CONTACT NUMBER	SIGNATURE
1.	Alfonso D. ...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
2.	...				
3.	...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
4.	...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
5.	...	M	"	999 7210 2310	[Signature]
6.	...	M	"		[Signature]
7.	...	M	"		[Signature]
8.	...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
9.	...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
10.	...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
11.	...	M	TAYTAY	999 7210 2310	[Signature]
12.	...	M	TAYTAY	000 7224 1860	[Signature]
13.	JUAN PERALTA	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
14.	...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
15.	...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
16.	FEDERICO LINDO	M	TAYTAY	0955 4711 35	[Signature]
17.	...	M	TAYTAY	0955 5236 913	[Signature]
18.	...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
19.	...	T	TAYTAY	0955 5922 1	[Signature]
20.	...	M	TAYTAY		[Signature]
21.					
22.					
23.					
24.					
25.					
26.					
27.					
28.					
29.					
30.					



COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

ATTENDANCE SHEET

CSU Vision

Transforming
and by
Education for
the Next.

CSU Mission

CSU is committed
to transform the
lives of people
and communities
through high
quality
education and
research
contribution
to national
development.

CSU - IGA

Commitment

Service oriented

Universally adopt

DATE: March 12, 2021

ACTIVITY: Basic Construction Safety and Health

VENUE: Brig. Tawtaw Pantay, Bagdad, Cagayan

NAME	SEX (M/F)	ADDRESS	CONTACT NUMBER	SIGNATURE
1. Marie Frances S. Villegas	F	SJCB1	09976770428	
2. Judylic- heira G. Namano	F	SJCB1	09361930268	
3. Salvador N. Castillo	M	SJCB1	0956 076 777	
4. CHRISTIAN DAVE REMUDARO	M	SJCB1	0915 755 7741	
5. LEONARDO CAROLINA	M	SJCB1	0906804773	
6. ORTIZ, GAUCANO J	M	SJCB1	09058193712	
7. NIKKI A. SUMER	M	CSU		
8. JOHN MICHAEL CASIRANG	M	CSU		
9. POLLY STEFLO	M	CSU		
10. JOWELL TALDUG	M	CSU	09264483237	
11. FERDINAND SERPANO	M	CSU		
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				
16.				
17.				
18.				
19.				
20.				
21.				
22.				
23.				
24.				
25.				
26.				
27.				
28.				
29.				
30.				



Program Title: **Project Salinong – Integrated Engineering Services
for Sustainable Development**

Project Title: **Basic Construction Methodology, Safety and Post Construction**

Training: **Basic Construction Methodology**

Summary of Evaluation

The training on **Basic Construction Methodology** was conducted for extension program of the College of Engineering in collaboration with St. Joseph's College of Baggao, Inc. (SJCBI) on March 12, 2021. The activity was participated by 41 residents who are also the beneficiaries of Project Salinong in Baggao, Cagayan.

The objectives of the training were presented after which a lecture was provided to the participants on Basic Construction Methodology. This is to equip them basic knowledge on the basic application of construction methods and increase their skills as they are the workforce of the housing project for those affected of typhoon Ulysses.

After the lecture, questions from the participants were answered during the open forum, then evaluation instruments were distributed to the participants to rate the speaker, the four items included in the instruments namely; course content, training schedule, timeliness and resource speakers.

The said training was rated based on the following: the item course content and training schedule, there were four statements given which were rated by the participants as **Outstanding**. And the weighted mean for these two items were also **Outstanding**. Thus, this indicates that the course content was very important and the training was very timely and the time allotted was just enough for the training.

Under timeliness, the participants rated it as **Outstanding**. Their concerns and issues related to the lecture was also addressed by the speaker.

As to the resource speaker, he was rated by the clientele or participants as **Outstanding** as shown on the result of the statement included in the evaluation instrument. This showed that the resource speaker is knowledgeable and that there is mastery in the delivery of the assigned topic.

As a summary, the over-all weighted mean is 5 or **Outstanding**. Thus, this implies the training conducted was very beneficial and timely to the participants.

Prepared By:


ENGR. NIKITA A. SUMAR
Civil Engineering Department Chair



Republic of the Philippines
Cagayan State University
Carig Campus

Title of Training:


Venue:

Date:

Basic Construction Methodology
Tarlac North Campus Hall, Pampanga, Baguio
10/10/17

PARTICIPANTS PROFILE

No.	Name	Sex M/F	Civil Status	Religious Affil.	Age	Date of Birth mm/dd/yy	Highest Degree/ Major	Agency	Position	Contact Number	Membership to Org
1	Michael Magallon										
2	Michael Magallon	M			24	04/02/1993					
3	Michael Magallon	M			40	05/21/1977					
4	Fernando Magallon	M			52						
5	Arturo Magallon	M			40	06/12/77					
6	Bernardo Magallon	M			54						
7	Gerardo Magallon	M									
8	Christopher Magallon	M									
9	Rommel Magallon	M									
10	Armando Magallon										
11	Armando Magallon				53	09/25/1964					
12	Armando Magallon	M			63	5-24-57				093774160	
13	Armando Magallon	M									
14	Armando Magallon	M			67						
15	Armando Magallon	M			60						
16	Armando Magallon	M			42	02/14/75				093774160	
17	Armando Magallon	M			33	01/20/84				0906628970	
18	Armando Magallon	M	S		73	01/20/44				093774160	
19	Armando Magallon	M			42	02/29/75				093774160	
20	Armando Magallon	M			41	7-1974				093774160	
21	Armando Magallon	M	M	R.C.	52	03-01-1965				093774160	
22	Armando Magallon	M	M	R.C.	33	07.19.1987				093774160	
23	Armando Magallon	M	M	R.C.	40	09-19-1977					
24	Armando Magallon	M	M	R.C.	74	05-27-42				093774160	
25						2/2/42					



How much is the money

Trinity North Pacific Highway Trinity, Alaska

2/12/02

[illegible]



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 03/12/21
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 03/12/21
Post Construction Practices Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar:	Basic Construction Methodology	Date: <u>March 12, 2021</u>
	Basic Construction Safety and health	Date: <u>March 12, 2021</u>
	Post Construction Practices	Date: <u>March 12, 2021</u>

A. Course Content

- | | | |
|--|-----------|---|
| 1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. | 1 2 3 4 5 | ✓ |
| 2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. | 1 2 3 4 5 | ✓ |
| 3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. | 1 2 3 4 5 | ✓ |
| 4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. | 1 2 3 4 5 | ✓ |

B. Training Schedule

- | | | |
|---|-----------|---|
| 1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. | 1 2 3 4 5 | ✓ |
| 2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. | 1 2 3 4 5 | ✓ |
| 3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. | 1 2 3 4 5 | ✓ |
| 4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. | 1 2 3 4 5 | ✓ |

C. Timeliness

- | | | |
|--|-----------|---|
| 1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. | 1 2 3 4 5 | ✓ |
|--|-----------|---|

D. Resource Speakers

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	ENGR.SOTELLO					ENGR. CASIBANG					ENGR. SUMER				
	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 3/12/21
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 3/12/21
Post Construction Practices Date: 3/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 03/12/2021

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 03/12/2021

Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR. SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				✓						✓					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				✓						✓					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				✓						✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				✓						✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				✓						✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				✓						✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.

i like the speakers, very helpful



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar:	Basic Construction Methodology	Date: 02/12/21
	Basic Construction Safety and health	Date: 02/12/21
	Post Construction Practices	Date: 02/12/21

A. Course Content

- The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
- The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
- The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
- The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

- The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
- The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
- The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
- The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

- The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					/					/					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					/					/					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					/					✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					/					✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					/					✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					/					✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology
Basic Construction Safety and health
Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/2021

Date: 03/12/2021

Date: 03/12/2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				/						/					/
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				/						/					/
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				/						/					/
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				/						/					/
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				/						/					/
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				/						/					/

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 7/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 7/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 3/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR. SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				/					/						/
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				/					/						/
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				/					/						/
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				/					/						/
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				/					/						/
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				/					/						/

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: March 12, 2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: March 12, 2021
Post Construction Practices Date: March 12, 2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 ~~5~~
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ ~~5~~

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 ~~5~~
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 ~~5~~

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				/					/					/	
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				/					/					/	
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				/					/					/	
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				/					/					/	
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				/					/					/	
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				/					/					/	

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date:

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date:

Post Construction Practices

Date:

3/12/2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					4					4					4
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					4					4					4
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					4					4					4
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					4					4					4
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					4					4					4
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					4					4					4

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 2/12/21
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 2/12/21
Post Construction Practices Date: 2/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				/						/					/
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				/						/					/
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				/						/					/
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					/					/					/
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					/					/					/
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					/					/					/

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 6/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 3/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 3/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR. SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				/				/						/	
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.			/					/							
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.			/					/						/	
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				/				/						/	
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				/				/						/	
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				/				/						/	

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 03-12-2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 03-12-2021
Post Construction Practices Date: 03-12-2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR. SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: March 12, 2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: March 12, 2021
Post Construction Practices Date: March 12, 2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 ☒ 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 ☒ 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 ☒ 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 ☒ 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 ☒ 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 ☒ 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 ☒ 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 ☒ 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 ☒ 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

	ENGR. SOTELLO					ENGR. CASIBANG					ENGR. SUMER				
	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.	☒				☒				☒						☒
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.		☒					☒								☒
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				☒			☒								☒
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.		☒							☒						☒
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					☒				☒						☒
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				☒			☒		☒						☒

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.

Good delivering the messages and help us
lot of learning to apply in our
work
For me, it's all included.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - ☒ Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar:	Basic Construction Methodology	Date: 03-12-21
	Basic Construction Safety and health	Date: 03-12-21
	Post Construction Practices	Date:

A. Course Content

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

B. Training Schedule

- | | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

C. Timeliness

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
|--|-----------|

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					/				/						/
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					/				/						/
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					/				/						/
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					/				/						/
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					/				/						/
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					/				/						/

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 03/12/2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 03/12/2021
Post Construction Practices Date: 03/12/2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.

topic was helpful to us



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: March 12, 2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 11
Post Construction Practices Date: 11

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. ☒ 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 ☒ 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 ☒ 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 ☒ 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. ☒ 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 ☒ 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 ☒ 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 ☒ 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				☒						☒				☒	
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				☒						☒				☒	
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				☒						☒				☒	
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				☒						☒				☒	
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				☒						☒				☒	
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				☒						☒				☒	

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.

good delivering the message and help



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: ~~March 11~~ March 12 2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: March 12 2021
Post Construction Practices Date: March 12 2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 ☒ 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 ☒ 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 ☒ 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 ☒ 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 ☒ 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 ☒ 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 ☒ 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 ☒ 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					☒					☒					☒
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				☒						☒					☒
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				☒						☒					☒
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				☒	☒					☒					☒
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				☒						☒					☒
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				☒						☒					☒

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 03/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: _____

Post Construction Practices

Date: _____

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 4 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.

GOOD DELIVERY & HELP OF IMPROVE
MY ~~EST~~ KNOWLEDGE & APPLY.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology
Basic Construction Safety and health
Post Construction Practices

Date: 03-12-21
Date: 03-12-21
Date: 03-12-21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>	
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>	
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>	
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>	
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>	
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>	

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 3/12/21
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 3/12/21
Post Construction Practices Date: 3/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 ~~5~~
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 ~~5~~

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 ~~5~~
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 ~~5~~

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR. SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					/					/					/
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					/					/					/
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					/					/					/
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					/					/					/
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					/					/					/
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					/					/					/

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 08/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 03/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR. SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>	
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.		<u>/</u>							<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>	
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>	
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>	
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>	
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>	

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 03-12-2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 03-12-2021
Post Construction Practices Date: 03-12-2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	ENGR. SOTELLO					ENGR. CASIBANG					ENGR. SUMER				
	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 03/12/2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 03/12/2021
Post Construction Practices Date: _____

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 ~~5~~
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 ~~5~~
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.			~~/~~					~~/~~					~~/~~		
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				~~/~~				~~/~~					~~/~~	~~/~~	
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.			~~/~~					~~/~~					~~/~~		
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				~~/~~				~~/~~					~~/~~		
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					~~/~~			~~/~~					~~/~~		
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					~~/~~										~~/~~

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 ☒ Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 03/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 03/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 ☒ 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 ☒ 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 ☒ 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 ☒ 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 ☒ 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 ☒ 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 ☒ 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 ☒ 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.			☒							☒					☒
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				☒				☒	☒						☒
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				☒				☒				☒			
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				☒				☒							☒
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				☒				☒							☒
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				☒				☒							☒

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 03/12/2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 03/12/2021
Post Construction Practices Date: 03/12/2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.															
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.															
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.															
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.															
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.															
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.															

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: March 12, 2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: March 12, 2021
Post Construction Practices Date: March 12, 2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>					<u>/</u>

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 08/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 08/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 08/12/21

A. Course Content

- The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
- The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
- The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
- The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

- The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
- The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
- The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
- The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

- The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				/					/					/	
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				/				/						/	
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				/				/						/	
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				/				/						/	
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				/				/						/	
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.				/				/						/	

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 03/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 03/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR. SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 03-12-2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 03-12-2021
Post Construction Practices Date: 03-12-2021

A. Course Content

- The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
- The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
- The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
- The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

- The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
- The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
- The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
- The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

- The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					5					5					5
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					5					5					5
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					5					5					5
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					5					5					5
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					5					5					5
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					5					5					5

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: March 12, 2021
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: March 12, 2021
Post Construction Practices Date: March 12, 2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 03/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 03/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					/					/					/
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					/					/					/
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					/					/					/
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					/					/					/
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					/					/					/
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					/					/					/

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.

NADAC-DAGAN ANG KAALAMAN



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology
Basic Construction Safety and health
Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/21
Date: 03/12/21
Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.

LOUD & CLEAR

MURDER

DAGDAG KALAMAY



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology
Basic Construction Safety and health
Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/21
Date: 03/12/21
Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 02/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 03/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.															
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.															
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.															
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.															
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.															
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.															

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.
Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 ~~/~~ Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 03/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 03/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 ~~5~~
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 ~~3~~ 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR. SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.				~~/~~					~~/~~					~~/~~	
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				~~/~~					~~/~~					~~/~~	
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				~~/~~					~~/~~					~~/~~	
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				~~/~~					~~/~~					~~/~~	
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.				~~/~~					~~/~~					~~/~~	
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.			~~/~~						~~/~~					~~/~~	

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date: 03/12/21

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date: 03/12/21

Post Construction Practices

Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar:	Basic Construction Methodology	Date:	02/12/2021
	Basic Construction Safety and health	Date:	02/12/2021
	Post Construction Practices	Date:	03/12/2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.															
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.															
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.															
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.															
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.															
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.															

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 03/12/21
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 03/12/21
Post Construction Practices Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	ENGR.SOTELLO					ENGR. CASIBANG					ENGR. SUMER				
	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.															
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.															
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.															
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.															
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.															
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.															

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology

Date:

Basic Construction Safety and health

Date:

Post Construction Practices

Date:

MARCH 12, 2021

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5 ✓

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					✓
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					✓					✓					✓
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓					✓					✓
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.					✓					✓					✓
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓					✓					✓
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓					✓					✓

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar: Basic Construction Methodology Date: 03/12/21
Basic Construction Safety and health Date: 03/12/21
Post Construction Practices Date: 03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR. SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					✓					✓					
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.				✓					✓						
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.					✓				✓						
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				✓					✓						
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					✓				✓						
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					✓				✓						

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.



TRAINING EVALUATION FORM (Community-Based)

This form is designed to draw out your reaction/perception after attending the training.

Please answer TRUTHFULLY all items by using the scale below:

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Good 1 - Poor

Title of Training/Seminar:	Basic Construction Methodology	Date:	03/12/21
	Basic Construction Safety and health	Date:	03/12/21
	Post Construction Practices	Date:	03/12/21

A. Course Content

1. The training is very relevant to present needs of the community and the country as a whole. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The topics/workshop gave me new insights/ideas about how I should do my work. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The topics/workshop gave me new skills that will improve my efficiency/productivity. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The topics/workshop will enhance my dealings with the members of my community. 1 2 3 4 5

B. Training Schedule

1. The sessions started and ended according to the set schedule. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The schedule for the training was conducive to learning. 1 2 3 4 5
3. The time allotted for the topic was sufficient to ensure maximum learning. 1 2 3 4 5
4. The time allotted for breaks was sufficient. 1 2 3 4 5

C. Timeliness

1. The topics discussed gave solutions to my present concerns related to my work/enterprise/project. 1 2 3 4 5

D. Resource Speakers

ENGR.SOTELLO

ENGR. CASIBANG

ENGR. SUMER

E. Resource Persons/ Speakers	Speaker: 1					Speaker: 2					Speaker: 3				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1. The resource persons showed mastery of the subject matter.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
2. The resource persons spoke clearly.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
3. The resource persons presented the topics in an organized manner.				<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
4. The resource persons exhibited the enthusiasm in delivering their lecture.				<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
5. The resource persons used various methods to make the presentations interesting and lively.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>
6. The resource persons encouraged group participation.					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>					<u>4</u>

On the space below, please write your comments or observations that may not have been covered or included in this evaluation.

Very nice deliver messaged.